

Night School 28: Vertical Bracing Connections

Thank you for joining our live
webinar. We will begin shortly.
Please standby.

AISC
Night School



Vertical Bracing Connections, Session 7: The Chevron Gusset Connection

May 17, 2022 | Rafael Sabelli



Smarter.
Stronger.
Steel.



Vertical Bracing Connections, Session 7: The Chevron Gusset Connection

May 17, 2022 | Rafael Sabelli





Today's live webinar will begin shortly. Please stand by.

Today's audio will be broadcast through the internet. Please be sure to turn up the volume on your speakers.

Please type any questions or comments in the Q&A window.



AIA Credit

AISC is a Registered Provider with The American Institute of Architects Continuing Education Systems (AIA/CES). Credit(s) earned on completion of this program will be reported to AIA/CES for AIA members. Certificates of Completion for both AIA members and non-AIA members are available upon request.

This program has been submitted for AIA/CES for continuing professional education. As such, it does not include content that may be deemed or construed to be an approval or endorsement by the AIA of any material of construction or any method or manner of handling, using, distributing, or dealing in any material or product.

Questions related to specific materials, methods, and services will be addressed at the conclusion of this presentation.





Copyright Materials

This presentation is protected by US and International Copyright laws. Reproduction, distribution, display and use of the presentation without written permission of AISC is prohibited.

© The American Institute of Steel Construction 2022

The information presented herein is based on recognized engineering principles and is for general information only. While it is believed to be accurate, this information should not be applied to any specific application without competent professional examination and verification by a licensed professional engineer. Anyone making use of this information assumes all liability arising from such use.



Course Description

Vertical Bracing Connections

Session 7: The Chevron Gusset Connection May 17, 2022

This session will review brace-to-beam connections away from the beam-to-column joint. Focusing on seismic force resisting systems where the brace vertical components are unequal, the presentation will demonstrate how to derive the forces imparted to the beam and evaluate the beam strength for those forces. It will also provide practical guidance on how the gusset plate geometry or stiffeners may be chosen to avoid beam web reinforcement.





Learning Objectives

1. Learn how to determine forces acting on the beam at chevron connections.
2. Learn how frame configuration affects the intensity of the chevron effect.
3. Understand how assumed gusset stress distributions affect internal beam forces.
4. Learn how to apply the “Uniform Stress Method” to determine required gusset dimensions.
5. Learn how to apply the “Concentrated Stress Method” to determine required gusset dimensions.



Night School 28: Bracing Connections and Related Topics

From the First Principles to Design
Session 7: The Chevron Connection
May 17, 2022



Rafael Sabelli, PE, SE
Director of Seismic Design &
Senior Principal
Walter P Moore



Smarter.
Stronger.
Steel.

Learning Objectives

- Learn how to determine forces acting on the beam at chevron connections
- Learn how frame configuration affects the intensity of the chevron effect
- Understand how assumed gusset stress distributions affect internal beam forces
- Learn how to apply the “Uniform Stress Method” to determine required gusset dimensions
- Learn how to apply the “Concentrated Stress Method” to determine required gusset dimensions



9

The Chevron Connection

- The frame
 - Configurations
 - Load path
 - Seismic design
- The connection
 - The “Chevron Effect”
 - Statics of the connection
 - Stress distributions
 - Complete plastic mechanism
- Design example
 - Statics of connection
 - Try Uniform Stress Method
 - Try Concentrated Stress Method
 - Gusset checks




10

The Frame




11


Configurations



Inverted-V-
bracing (stacked)



V-bracing
(stacked)




Two-story-X
bracing



12

Load Path



Inverted-V-bracing (stacked)


V-bracing (stacked)

Two-story-X bracing



13

Seismic Design





- Wind design
 - Horizontal
 - Beam axial force loads
braces
 - Braces above load
braces below
 - Vertical
 - **Beam loads braces**
 - Bracing connection
supports beam
- Seismic design
 - Horizontal
 - Beam axial force loads
braces
 - Braces above load
braces below
 - Vertical
 - **Braces load beam**
 - Beam spans full bay
length



14

Beam support and loading


- Gravity load combinations
- Wind load combinations
- Seismic load combinations



15

SCBF

- Special Concentrically Braced Frames (SCBF)
 - Brace tensile yield
 - Brace compression buckling
 - Post buckling loss of strength in brace




16


SCBF

SCBF

- Design for 2 events
 - Brace buckling
 - Brace tensile yielding
- Defined mechanism
- Strong connections required



SCBF (Case 1)



SCBF (Case 2)

Case 2

$0.342 F_{cre} A_g$

$R_y F_y A_g$

$R_y F_y A_g$

$0.342 F_{cre} A_g$

AISC 341 22:
 $\leq 1.14 F_{cre} A_g$
 for beams in V and Inverted-V braced frames

19

Statics of the connection

Centroid of joint

Workpoint

Centroid of joint

$F_{1,1}$

$F_{1,2}$

F_{V1}

F_{N1}

M_{f1}

$$F_{V1} = (F_{1,1} + F_{1,2}) \cos \theta$$

$$F_{N1} = (F_{1,2} - F_{1,1}) \sin \theta$$

$$M_{f1} = (F_{1,1} + F_{1,2}) \cos \theta \frac{d_b}{2}$$

Engineering Journal

First Quarter (2021) Volume 18, No. 1


Smarter. Stronger. Steadier.

Design for Local Member Shear at Brace and Diagonal-Member Connections: Full-Height and Chevron Gussets

RAFAEL SABELLI and BRANDT SAXEY

20


SCBF Case 1 vs. Case 2



$$F_{V1} = (F_{1,1} + F_{1,2}) \cos \theta = (1 + C) F_T \cos \theta$$

$$F_{N1} = (F_{1,2} - F_{1,1}) \sin \theta = (C - 1) F_T \sin \theta$$

$$M_{f1} = F_{V1} \frac{d_b}{2} = (1 + C) F_T \cos \theta \frac{d_b}{2}$$




$$F_{V1} = (F_{1,1} + F_{1,2}) \cos \theta = (1 + 0.3C) F_T \cos \theta$$

$$F_{N1} = (F_{1,2} - F_{1,1}) \sin \theta = (0.3C - 1) F_T \sin \theta$$


$$M_{f1} = F_{V1} \frac{d_b}{2} = (1 + 0.3C) F_T \cos \theta \frac{d_b}{2}$$

21

SCBF Case 1 vs. Case 2



Critical for
 connection




Critical for
 beam



22



BRBF




- Buckling-Restrained Braced Frames (BRBF)
 - Tension and compression yielding
 - Strain hardening
 - (Almost) balanced tension and compression
 - Compression overstrength



BRBF




2-Story X




The diagram illustrates the force and moment distributions at the joints of a 2-story X-braced frame. It shows three levels: the top joint (labeled 2), the middle joint, and the bottom joint (labeled 1). At the top joint, forces $F_{2,1}$ and $F_{2,2}$ are shown acting on the columns, and moments M_{j2} are shown acting on the beams. At the middle joint, forces F_{N2} and F_{V2} are shown acting on the beams, and moments M_{j2} are shown acting on the columns. At the bottom joint, forces F_{N1} and F_{V1} are shown acting on the beams, and moments M_{j1} are shown acting on the columns. The centroid of the joint is indicated by a red arrow. A small inset diagram shows a 2-story X-braced frame with red and green members.

- Moments are additive
- Beam design axial force may be low
- Local connection effects may be more severe than member forces based on centerline analysis




25

The Connection




A photograph showing a close-up view of a chevron gusset connection in a steel structure. The connection consists of a horizontal beam and two diagonal bracing members meeting at a central point. The gusset is a large, flat plate that connects the beam and the bracing members.



26

The "Chevron effect"



The "Chevron effect"

The Chevron Effect—Not an Isolated Problem
 PATRICK J. FORTNEY and WILLIAM A. THORNTON

The Chevron Effect and Analysis of Chevron Beams—A Paradigm Shift
 PATRICK J. FORTNEY and WILLIAM A. THORNTON

Investigation on the Performance of a Mathematical Model to Analyze Concentrically Braced Frame Beams with V-Type Bracing Configurations
 ALIREZA ASGARI HADAD and PATRICK J. FORTNEY
In memory of Patrick J. Fortney, who passed away in October 2019.



The “Chevron effect”

2017 SEAOC CONVENTION PROCEEDINGS

Design of Chevron Gusset Plates

Rafael Sabelli, Director of Seismic Design
 Walter P Moore
 San Francisco, California
 Leigh Arber, Senior Engineer
 American Institute of Steel Construction
 Chicago, Illinois

Abstract

The “Chevron Effect” is a term used to describe local beam forces in the gusset region of a chevron (also termed inverted-V) braced frame. These local forces are typically missed by beam analysis methods that neglect connection dimensions. Recent publications have shown how to correctly analyze for these forces (Fortney & Thornton, AISC Engineering Journal, Vol. 52, 2015). This study adds design solutions for addressing high shears in the connection region, including reinforcement, proportioning, and innovative detailing.

Introduction





Fig. 2. Typical chevron gusset design.



The “Chevron effect”



$$F_{V1} = (F_{1,1} + F_{1,2}) \cos \theta$$

$$F_{N1} = (F_{1,2} - F_{1,1}) \sin \theta$$


(compression positive)

$$M_{f1} = (F_{1,1} + F_{1,2}) \cos \theta \frac{d_b}{2}$$

Statics



The "Chevron effect"



Distribution



Multiple stress distributions are "admissible"
 (i.e, satisfy statics, consistent with Lower Bound Theorem)

Axial-moment interaction on rectangular plates

- Elastic

$$\frac{M_u}{\phi M_y} + \frac{P_u}{\phi P_n} \leq 1$$

$$\frac{6M_u}{t\phi F_y W^2} + \frac{P_u}{t\phi F_y W} \leq 1$$





Axial-moment interaction on rectangular plates

- Conventional plastic

$$\frac{M_u}{\phi M_n} + \frac{P_u}{\phi P_n} \leq 1$$

$$\frac{4M_u}{t\phi F_y W^2} + \frac{P_u}{t\phi F_y W} \leq 1$$





Axial-moment interaction on rectangular plates


- Optimal plastic

$$\frac{M_u}{\phi M_n} + \left(\frac{P_u}{\phi P_n} \right)^2 \leq 1$$

$$\frac{4M_u}{t\phi F_y W^2} + \left(\frac{P_u}{t\phi F_y W} \right)^2 \leq 1$$




Axial-moment interaction on rectangular plates



- Elastic $\frac{M_u}{\phi M_y} + \frac{P_u}{\phi P_n} \leq 1$
- Conventional plastic $\frac{M_u}{\phi M_n} + \frac{P_u}{\phi P_n} \leq 1$
- Optimal plastic $\frac{M_u}{\phi M_n} + \left(\frac{P_u}{\phi P_n}\right)^2 \leq 1$



The “Chevron effect”



The "Chevron effect"

Report No. SSRP-2018/02

Finite Element Evaluation of the Chevron Effect in Braced Frames




by

Paul Richards
 Associate Professor


Bryce Miller
 Student Researcher

Jacob Linford
 Student Researcher

Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering
 Brigham Young University
 Provo, UT 84602


The maximum Mises stresses in the beam web were around 45 ksi, indicating that the loads could probably be increased by another twenty percent (55 k/45 ksi) prior to yielding. Both the CSM and USM were quite conservative for this case.



The "Chevron effect"

Design for Local Member Shear at Brace and Diagonal-Member Connections: Full-Height and Chevron Gussets

RAFAEL SABELLI and BRANDT SAXEY



Engineering Journal
 First Quarter 2021 | Volume 38, No. 1




Smarter. Stronger. Steel.

Contents

1. Investigation on the Performance of a Parametric Model to Design Eccentrically Braced Connections with Open-Web Composite Beams
 Authors: Rafael Sabelli, Brandt Saxey, Jeffrey W. Barker, and Andrew D. Sim
2. 3D77 Research for Steel Deck under Local Compression Loaded by HPS
 Authors: Paul and Jeffrey A. Yeh
3. Effect of Temperature on Axial Load-Shear Behavior of Steel-Fiber-Reinforced Polymer (FRP) Composite Connections
 Authors: Paul and Jeffrey A. Yeh
4. Design for Local Member Shear at Braced Connections: Full-Height and Chevron Gussets
 Authors: Rafael Sabelli and Brandt Saxey

The "Chevron effect"


By selecting a distribution we are effectively selecting a moment arm



The moment-arm length determines the magnitude of the vertical force



The "Chevron effect"



Statical equilibrium here

$$\sum M = 0$$

$$\left(V_{mc1} - \frac{1}{2} F_{N1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{2} e_z \right) = \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{V1} \right) \frac{d_b}{2}$$

Determines sharing of vertical force here


$$V_{g1} = F_{1,1} \sin \theta - \left(V_{mc1} - \frac{1}{2} F_{N1} \right)$$

$$= F_{1,1} \sin \theta - \left(\frac{1}{2} F_{V1} \right) \frac{d_b}{e_z}$$


To put more of the shear in the gusset, moment arm must be increased. Stiffening, thickening gusset is ineffective.



Increasing moment arm within gusset



Increasing moment arm within gusset



Uniform Stress Method (USM)

43

Uniform Stress Method



$$V_u = \frac{2M_{f1}}{L_{g1}}$$

Analysis

$$R_{u1} = \frac{F_{N1}}{2} \pm \frac{2M_{f1}}{L_{g1}}$$


44

Concentrated Stress Method (CSM)



45

Concentrated Stress Method



$$z_1 \geq \frac{L_{g1}}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{L_{g1}^2}{4} - \frac{M_{f1}}{\phi_w F_y t_w} - 5k} \quad \text{WLY}$$

$$z_1 \geq \left[\frac{R_{z1}}{\phi_n 0.80 t_w^2} \sqrt{\frac{t_w}{E F_y t_f}} - 1 \right] \left(\frac{d_m}{3} \right) \left(\frac{t_f}{t_w} \right)^{1.5} \quad \text{WLC}$$

$$z_1 \geq \frac{L_{g1}}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{L_{g1}^2}{4} - \frac{M_{f1}/\phi_t}{\sqrt{(F_y t_g)^2 - \left(\frac{F_{V1}}{\phi_v 0.6 L_{g1}} \right)^2}}} \quad \text{Gusset yield}$$


Analysis

$$R_{z1} = \frac{M_{f1}}{L_{g1} - z_1} \quad V_u = \frac{1}{2} F_{N1} + R_{z1}$$



46

Concentrated Stress Method



Rough estimate
 Not necessary
 for design

Assume $e_z = 0.75 L_g$ for a rough estimate


$$L_{g1} \approx 1.33 \frac{F_{V1} d_b}{\phi_v V_n}$$

$$\phi_v V_n \approx 0.75 \frac{F_{V1} d_b}{L_{g1}}$$

$$t_w \approx 0.75 \frac{F_{V1} / L_{g1}}{\phi_v 0.6 F_y}$$



Concentrated Stress Method



Design

$$R_{z1} = \phi_v V_n - \frac{1}{2} F_{N1}$$

$$L_{g1} \geq \frac{M_{f1}}{R_{z1}} + \frac{R_{z1}}{\phi_w F_y t_w} - 5k \quad \text{WLY}$$

$$L_{g1} > \frac{M_{f1}}{V_{ef1}} + \frac{R_{z1}}{t_{g1} \phi_t F_y} \quad \text{Gusset yield (approximate)}$$


WLC must be examined after selection of gusset length

$$t_{g1} \geq \sqrt{\left(\frac{F_{V1}}{\phi_v 0.6 F_y L_{g1}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{R_{z1}}{\phi_t F_y \left(L_{g1} - \frac{M_{f1}}{R_{z1}} \right)} \right)^2} \quad \text{Gusset yield (after length selection)}$$



Concentrated Stress Method

Method of sections
 to check local
 yielding in gusset




Gusset sections



Concentrated Stress Method

CSM:
 Nonuniform vertical stress at gusset-beam
 interface requires nonuniform stresses in gusset




Approximate
 method using partial
 block-shear area

Critical gusset section




Looking beyond the connection




- Alternative load paths for moment
- Dependent on additional flexural strength in braces, beam



Complete plastic mechanism




Brace engagement




Not recommended for new design!

2 gussets, 4 braces



- Effects are additive
 - Similar to moment connection at column
- Maximum effects typically assumed to be simultaneous

2 gussets, 4 braces



- Apportionment



$$V_{ef1} = \frac{M_{f1}}{M_{f1} + M_{f2}} \phi V_n$$

$$V_{ef2} = \frac{M_{f2}}{M_{f1} + M_{f2}} \phi V_n$$

- Design each gusset separately
 - Need not be same length

55

Megagussets



56


Design

- Establish parameters
 - Determine F_V , F_N , and M_f
 - Determine the optimal gusset-plate length
 - Apportion shear strength between gussets (if applicable)
- Try USM
 - Check gusset length
 - (Consider reinforcement)
 - If no good....
- Try CSM
 - Check gusset length
- Check shear
- Design gusset and weld



57

Design Example



	Brace Axial Force F (kips)	Shear Component $F\cos(\gamma)$ (kips)	Normal Component $F\sin(\gamma)$ (kips)
$F_{1,1}$	568	364	436
$F_{1,2}$	653	418	502
$F_{2,2}$	511	327	393
$F_{2,1}$	588	376	451


50.2° from horizontal

W24×94 ($\phi V_n = 375$ kips; $A=27.7$ in.²; $Z=254$ in.³)



58

Collect forces



	Gusset 1 (below beam)	Gusset 2 (above beam)	Combination (total or difference)
$F_{V(i)}$ (kips)	782	703	78.5
$F_{N(i)}$ (kips)	65.5	58.9	6.6
$M_{f(i)}$ (kip-in.)	9500	8550	18,000
$V_{ef(i)}/V_{eTOT}$	0.526	0.474	1.0

$$V_{ef1} = \frac{M_{f1}}{M_{f1} + M_{f2}} \phi V_n$$

Optimum for brace attachment to gusset:
 (2) $3/4 \times 21 \times 48$ gussets




Try USM

- Determine gusset length that does not require reinforcement

$$\begin{aligned}
 L_g &\geq \frac{2M_{Tot}}{V_{efTot}} \\
 &= \frac{18,000 \text{ kip-in.}}{375 \text{ kips}} \\
 &= 96.1 \text{ in.}
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) 96" gussets (top and bottom)





Try USM

- Determine beam size that does not require reinforcement for a 48" gusset


$$t_w \geq \frac{F_{V1}/L_{g1} + F_{V2}/L_{g2}}{\phi_v 0.6 F_y}$$

$$= \frac{F_{V1} + F_{V2}}{\phi_v 0.6 F_y L_g}$$

$$= \frac{782 \text{ kips} + 703 \text{ kips}}{(1.0)0.6(50 \text{ ksi})(48 \text{ in.})}$$

$$= 1.03 \text{ in.}$$

W24×250
 (or a W21×248 or a W18×211)



61



Try USM



- Determine a web doubler that works with the W24x94 and a 48" gusset
 - Try web doubler of 3/4 × 18 in

$$L_g \geq \frac{2M_{Tot}}{\phi_v V_{nTot}} = \frac{2M_{Tot}}{[\phi_v V_{nbeam} + \phi_v V_{ndoubler}]}$$

$$= \frac{2(18,000 \text{ kip-in.})}{[375 \text{ kips} + 1.0(0.6)(0.75 \text{ in.})(18 \text{ in.})(50 \text{ ksi})]} = 46.2 \text{ in.}$$

- USM designs:
- Long gusset
 - Heavy beam
 - Web doubler

USM designs not acceptable; try CSM



62


Try CSM

V_{efTOT} is reduced considering the net unbalanced force:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{efTot} &= \phi_v V_n - \left| \frac{F_{N1}}{2} - \frac{F_{N2}}{2} \right| \\ &= 375 \text{ kips} - \left| \frac{6.6 \text{ kips}}{2} \right| \\ &= 372 \text{ kips} \end{aligned}$$

Apportionment:

$$\begin{aligned} V_{ef1} &= \frac{M_{f1}}{M_{Tot}} V_{efTot} \\ &= \frac{9500 \text{ kip-in.}}{18,000 \text{ kip-in.}} (372 \text{ kips}) \\ &= 196 \text{ kips} \quad \text{Shear available to Gusset 1} \end{aligned}$$



63

Try CSM

Preliminary design


$$\begin{aligned} L_{g1} &> \frac{M_{f1}}{V_{ef1}} + \frac{V_{ef1}}{\phi_w F_y t_w} - 5k \\ &= \frac{9500 \text{ kip-in.}}{196 \text{ kips}} + \frac{196 \text{ kips}}{(1.0)(50 \text{ ksi})(0.515 \text{ in.})} - 5(1.38 \text{ in.}) \\ &= 49.2 \text{ in.} \end{aligned}$$

WLY

$$\begin{aligned} L_{g1} &> \frac{M_{f1}}{V_{ef1}} + \frac{V_{ef1}}{\phi_t F_y t_{g1}} \\ &= \frac{9500 \text{ kip-in.}}{196 \text{ kips}} + \frac{196 \text{ kips}}{(0.9)(50 \text{ ksi})(0.75 \text{ in.})} \\ &= 54.3 \text{ in.} \end{aligned}$$

Gusset yield

Use 56" minimum contact; 58" total



64


Try CSM

Determine z

$$z_1 \geq \frac{L_{g1}}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{L_{g1}^2}{4} - \frac{M_{f1}}{\phi_w F_y t_w}} - 5k$$

$$= \frac{56.0 \text{ in.}}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{(56.0 \text{ in.})^2}{4} - \frac{9500 \text{ kip-in.}}{(1.0)(50 \text{ ksi})(0.515 \text{ in.})}} - 5(1.38 \text{ in.})$$

$$= 0.73 \text{ in.}$$



WLY



65


Try CSM

Determine z

$$z_1 \geq \left[\frac{V_{ef1}}{\phi_n 0.80 t_w^2} \sqrt{\frac{t_w}{E F_y t_f}} - 1 \right] \left(\frac{d_m}{3} \right) \left(\frac{t_f}{t_w} \right)^{1.5}$$

$$= \left[\frac{196 \text{ kips}}{(0.75) 0.80 (0.515 \text{ in.})^2} \sqrt{\frac{0.515 \text{ in.}}{(29,000 \text{ ksi})(50 \text{ ksi})(0.875 \text{ in.})}} - 1 \right] \left(\frac{24.3 \text{ in.}}{3} \right) \left(\frac{0.875 \text{ in.}}{0.515 \text{ in.}} \right)^{1.5}$$

$$= -3.87 \text{ in.}$$



WLC



66

Try CSM


Determine z

$$z_1 = \frac{L_{g1}}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{L_{g1}^2}{4} - \frac{M_{f1}/\phi_t}{\sqrt{(F_y t_{g1})^2 - \left(\frac{F_{V1}}{\phi_v 0.6 L_{g1}}\right)^2}}}$$

$$= \frac{56.0 \text{ in.}}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{(56.0 \text{ in.})^2}{4} - \frac{9500 \text{ kip-in.}/0.9}{\sqrt{[(50 \text{ ksi})(0.75 \text{ in.})]^2 - \left(\frac{782 \text{ kip}}{(1.0)(0.6)(56 \text{ in.})}\right)^2}}}$$

$$= 7.38 \text{ in.}$$

Gusset yield



Use 7.38 in.



Try CSM

Force couple

$$R_{z1} = \frac{M_{f1}}{L_{g1} - z_1}$$

$$= \frac{9500 \text{ kip-in.}}{56.0 \text{ in.} - 7.38 \text{ in.}}$$

$$= 195 \text{ kips}$$


Maximum shear

$$V_{mc1} = V_{m1} + R_{z1}$$

$$= \left(\frac{M_{f1}}{M_{Tot}}\right) \frac{F_{N1} - F_{N2}}{2} + R_{z1}$$

$$= 0.526 \left(\frac{6.6 \text{ kips}}{2}\right) + (195 \text{ kips})$$

$$= 197 \text{ kips}$$



$$\frac{V_{mc1}}{\phi_v V_n} = \frac{197 \text{ kips}}{375 \text{ kips}}$$

$$= 0.525 \leq 0.526 \quad \text{o.k.}$$


Design does not use more shear strength than apportioned



Design gusset

Gusset checks

$t_{g1} = 0.75$ in.
 $d_{g1} = 21.0$ in.
 $L_{g1} = 56.0$ in.



Block-shear check as approximation of diagonal section


Check for portion of shear resisted by gusset, any normal force, and moment

Different welds possible in end and center region
 Center region $\leq \frac{1}{2} L_g$
 Weld $\geq \frac{1}{2}$ end weld size (strain compatibility)

Not shown:
 Check stability
 Check brace-to gusset connection



Design gusset



Gusset check at section parallel to the member axis at beam flange (z end regions)

- Implicitly checked by gusset-length selection
- Full utilization of gusset strength
- CJP (or fillet that can develop full gusset strength as gusset is fully utilized)



Design gusset



Gusset check at section parallel to the member axis at beam flange (center region)

$$\sqrt{\left(\frac{F_{V1}}{\phi_v 0.6 F_y t_{g1} L_{g1}}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{F_{N1}}{\phi_t F_y t_{g1} (L_{g1} - 2z_1)}\right)^2}$$


$$= \sqrt{\left(\frac{782 \text{ kips}}{0.6(50 \text{ ksi})(0.75 \text{ in.})(56.0 \text{ in.})}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{65.5 \text{ kips}}{(0.9)(50 \text{ ksi})(0.75 \text{ in.})(56.0 \text{ in.} - 2(7.38 \text{ in.}))}\right)^2}$$

$$= 0.622$$

- 62% utilization of gusset strength

$$L_{g1} - 2z_1 = 56.0 \text{ in.} - 2(7.38 \text{ in.}) = 41.2 \text{ in.}$$

$$\text{Use } \frac{1}{2}(56.0 \text{ in.}) = 28 \text{ in.}$$



71

Design gusset



Design weld (center region)

$$N_{weld} = F_{N1} = 65.5 \text{ kips}$$

$$V_{weld} = F_{V1} \frac{L_{g1} - 2z_1}{L_{g1}}$$

$$= (782 \text{ kips}) \frac{56 \text{ in.} - 2(7.38 \text{ in.})}{56.0 \text{ in.}}$$

$$= 576 \text{ kips}$$

$$P_u = \sqrt{N_{weld}^2 + V_{weld}^2}$$

$$= \sqrt{(65.5 \text{ kips})^2 + (576 \text{ kips})^2}$$

$$= 579 \text{ kips}$$

$$\tan^{-1}(65.5/576) = 6.5^\circ$$

$$w \geq \frac{P_u}{\phi_n 0.6 F_{EXX} (1.0 + 0.5 \sin^{1.5} \theta) \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} 2(L_{g1} - 2z_1)}$$

$$= \frac{579 \text{ kips}}{(0.75) 0.6 (70 \text{ ksi}) (1.0 + 0.5 \sin^{1.5} (6.5^\circ)) \sqrt{2} (41.2 \text{ in.})}$$


$$= 0.310 \text{ in.}$$

Use (2) $\frac{5}{16}$ -in. fillet welds




72

Design gusset



Gusset check at section perpendicular to the member axis



$$N_{g1} = \frac{1}{2}(F_{1,2} \cos \gamma_{1,2} - F_{1,1} \cos \gamma_{1,1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}(418 \text{ kips} - 364 \text{ kips})$$

$$= 27 \text{ kips}$$

$$V_{g1} = F_{1,1} \sin \gamma_{1,1} - R_{z1} + \frac{F_{N1}}{2}$$

$$= 436 \text{ kips} - 195 \text{ kips} + \frac{65.5 \text{ kips}}{2}$$

$$= 274 \text{ kips}$$

$$M_{g1} = N_{g1} \left(e_m + \frac{d_{g1}}{2} \right) - \frac{F_{N1}}{2} \left(\frac{L_{g1}}{4} - \frac{z_1}{2} \right)$$


$$= (27 \text{ kips}) \left(12.15 \text{ in.} + \frac{21.0 \text{ in.}}{2} \right) - \frac{65.5 \text{ kips}}{2} \left(\frac{56 \text{ in.}}{4} - \frac{7.38 \text{ in.}}{2} \right)$$

$$= 280 \text{ kip-in.}$$




73

Design gusset



Gusset check at section perpendicular to the member axis



$$\phi_t P_n = 0.9 F_y d_{g1} t_{g1}$$

$$= (0.9)(50 \text{ ksi})(21.0 \text{ in.})(0.75 \text{ in.})$$

$$= 709 \text{ kips}$$

$$\phi_v V_n = 1.00(0.60 F_y) d_{g1} t_{g1}$$

$$= 1.00(0.60)(50 \text{ ksi})(21.0 \text{ in.})(0.75 \text{ in.})$$

$$= 473 \text{ kips}$$

$$\phi_b M_n = 0.9 F_y \frac{d_{g1}^2 t_{g1}}{4}$$

$$= 0.9(50 \text{ ksi}) \frac{(21.0 \text{ in.})^2 (0.75 \text{ in.})}{4}$$

$$= 3,720 \text{ kip-in.}$$

$$\sqrt{\left(\frac{M_{g1}}{\phi_b M_n} + \frac{N_{g1}}{\phi_t P_n} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{V_{g1}}{\phi_v V_n} \right)^2} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{280 \text{ kip-in.}}{3,720 \text{ kip-in.}} + \frac{27 \text{ kips}}{709 \text{ kips}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{274 \text{ kips}}{473 \text{ kips}} \right)^2} = 0.590$$



74

Design gusset



Gusset diagonal section by approximate block-shear method

$$R_u = 568 \text{ kips}$$

$$A_{gv} = (0.75 \text{ in.})(20 \text{ in.}) = 15 \text{ in.}^2$$

$$A_{gt} = (0.75 \text{ in.})(12 \text{ in.}) = 9 \text{ in.}^2$$

$$A_{nv} = A_{gv} - (3.5)(0.75 \text{ in.})\left(0.75 \text{ in.} + \frac{1}{16} \text{ in.} + \frac{1}{16} \text{ in.}\right) = 12.375 \text{ in.}^2$$

$$A_{nt} = A_{gt} - (0.75 \text{ in.})\left(0.75 \text{ in.} + \frac{1}{16} \text{ in.} + \frac{1}{16} \text{ in.}\right) = 8.25 \text{ in.}^2$$

$$U_{bs} = 1.0$$

$$\phi R_n \leq \phi(0.6A_{gv}F_y + U_{bs}A_{nt}F_u)$$


$$= 0.75(0.6[15 \text{ in.}^2][50 \text{ ksi}] + 1.0[8.25 \text{ in.}^2][65 \text{ ksi}]) = 740 \text{ kips, O.K.}$$

$$\phi R_n \leq \phi(0.6A_{gv}F_u + U_{bs}A_{nt}F_u)$$


$$= 0.75(0.6[12.375 \text{ in.}^2][65 \text{ ksi}] + 1.0[8.25 \text{ in.}^2][65 \text{ ksi}]) = 764 \text{ kips, O.K.}$$




Design gusset




Gusset diagonal section (refer to paper for full geometry)



Design gusset




Gusset check at section parallel to the member axis at beam flange (z end regions)



77

Design gusset



78

Summary

- In seismic design, braces load the beam at chevron connections
- The chevron effect is similar to panel-zone shear
- The chevron effect may be significant for 4-brace connections
 - 2-brace connections typically have adequate beam shear strength
- Statics determines the forces acting on the beam, but multiple stress distributions are admissible
- Longer gussets will produce lower beam shear
- The USM is simpler and is typically the first choice
- The CSM is less conservative



79

AISC | Questions?



**Smarter.
Stronger.
Steel.**

Individual Session Registrants

PDH Certificates

- All WFH individuals associated with a group registration will be issued a certificate.
- All individuals attending at your connection: you will receive an email on how to report their attendance from: registration@aisc.org.
 - Be on the lookout: Check your spam filter! Check your junk folder!
 - Completely fill out online form. Don't forget to check the boxes next to each attendee's name!



8-Session Registrants

PDH Certificates

One certificate will be issued at the conclusion of all 8 sessions.



8-Session Registrants

Access to the quiz

Information for accessing the quiz will be emailed to you by Wednesday. It will contain a link to access the quiz. EMAIL COMES FROM NIGHTSCHOOL@AISC.ORG.

Quiz and attendance records

Posted Friday mornings. www.aisc.org/nightschool -- Click on Current Course Details.

Reasons for quiz

- EEU – You must take all quizzes and the final exam to receive EEU.
- PDHs – If you watch a recorded session, you must pass quiz for PDHs.
- REINFORCEMENT – Reinforce what you learn tonight. Get more out of the course.

Note: If you attend the live presentation, you do not have to take the quizzes to receive PDHs



8-Session Registrants

Access to the recording

Information for accessing the recording will be emailed to you by Wednesday. The recording will be available for four weeks. (For 8-session registrants only.) EMAIL COMES FROM NIGHTSCHOOL@AISC.ORG.

PDHs via recording

If you watch a recorded session, you must take *and pass* the quiz for PDHs.



8-Session Registrants

Night School Resources

Find all your handouts, quizzes and quiz scores, recording access, and attendance information all in one place!



8-Session Registrants

Night School Resources

Go to www.aisc.org and sign in.



Login

If you're an existing customer, please enter your username and password.

USERNAME

PASSWORD

Remember Me

DON'T HAVE AN ACCOUNT?

My AISC allows you to access Engineering Journal articles and Design Guides you have downloaded from the bookstore.

[REGISTER NOW](#)

8-Session Registrants

Night School Resources

Go to www.aisc.org and sign in.

IN THIS SECTION

- Edit Profile
- My Downloads
- My Pending Quizzes
- My Events
- Order History
- Course History
- Course Resources**

MyAISC

MY PROFILE
Update your contact and address information.
[EDIT PROFILE](#)

MY PURCHASED DOWNLOADS
Access articles and documents that you have purchased.
[VIEW DOWNLOADS](#)

MY COURSE RESOURCES
View online resources for Night School and Live Webinar package registrants.
[VIEW RESOURCES](#)

8-Session Registrants

Night School Resources

EDUCATION PUBLICATIONS NASCC: THE STEEL CONFERENCE STEEL SOLUTIONS CENTER AWARDS AND COMPETITIONS TECHNICAL RESOURCES

AISC

AISC > MYAISC > COURSE RESOURCES

Course Resources

Event	Start Date
NS 13 8-Session Package-Night School 13 - Design of Industrial Buildings	1/30/2017 7:00:00 PM
NS 14 8-Session Package-Night School 14 - Fundamentals of Stability	6/5/2017 7:00:00 PM

8-Session Registrants

Night School Resources



Night School 13: Design of Industrial Buildings

8-SESSION PACKAGE RESOURCES

Event	Date	Handouts	Video	Quiz	Attendance
NS13 - Design Criteria	1/30/2017 7:00:00 PM	Handouts	View Passcode: NS13DSN	Pass Score: 80	Pending
NS13 - Economic Considerations	2/6/2017 7:00:00 PM	Handouts	Available 02/08/2017 5pm EST	Available 02/08/2017 5pm EST	Pending
NS13 - Lateral Load Systems and Details	2/13/2017 7:00:00 PM	Handouts	Available 02/15/2017 5pm EST	Available 02/15/2017 5pm EST	Pending
NS13 - Preliminary Design Procedures	2/27/2017 7:00:00 PM	Handouts	Available 03/01/2017 5pm EST	Available 03/01/2017 5pm EST	Pending
NS13 - Crane Girder Design and Frame Analysis	3/6/2017 7:00:00 PM	Handouts	Available 03/08/2017 5pm EST	Available 03/08/2017 5pm EST	Pending
NS13 - Frame Member and Connection Design	3/13/2017 7:00:00 PM	Handouts	Available 03/15/2017 5pm EST	Available 03/15/2017 5pm EST	Pending
NS13 - Transfer Crane Girder & Longitudinal Bldg Bracing Dsn	3/27/2017 7:00:00 PM	Handouts	Available 03/29/2017 5pm EST	Available 03/29/2017 5pm EST	Pending
NS13 - Building Envelope and Bracing Design	4/3/2017 7:00:00 PM	Handouts	Available 04/05/2017 5pm EST	Available 04/05/2017 5pm EST	Pending

8-Session Registrants

Night School Resources

- Weekly “quiz and recording” email.
- Weekly updates of the master quiz and attendance record, found at www.aisc.org/nightschool28. Scroll down to Quiz and Attendance records.
 - Updated on Friday mornings.



8-Session Registrants

Night School Resources

- Webinar connection information
 - Reminder email sent out Monday mornings
- Links to handouts also found here



AISC | Thank you

